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#### Abstract

Ultrasound refers to sound waves with frequencies above the upper limit of human hearing. Due to the high frequency, the energy can be concentrated and used for therapeutic applications, such as ultrasound imaging and therapeutic devices. This thesis focuses on the design of driving circuits for ultrasound transducers. The Class D amplifier loaded with a piezoelectric ultrasound transducer is analyzed, and a design methodology is developed. The resulting design achieves high efficiency and can handle transducer impedance variations by adjusting two capacitances in the matching network. The amplifier topology is simple and low-cost. If this design is implemented in the lab, it will require a variable DC voltage supply, a gate driver, two NMOSs, an L-C filter, and a parallel capacitor.

A reference design to drive disc-shaped transducers with a radius of 20 mm , and a thickness of 2.8 mm , made of piezo-composite crystal, is presented to illustrate the design methodology. The resulting amplifier can provide a power of near 50 W at 1034 kHz with $97 \%$ efficiency when driving six different transducer samples. The analysis and design methodology are validated by simulating the amplifier performance in LTSpice and a corner analysis considering matching network component variations.
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## Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 Motivations and Objectives

Sound waves are generated by mechanical vibration. Ultrasound refers to the vibration frequencies of sound waves that are greater than 20 kHz ; it is above the upper limit of human hearing. At high intensities, the energy is easily concentrated and used for therapeutic applications. Ultrasound produces biological effects that apply to low power and high power therapy ultrasound applications. The low power applications include physiotherapy, fracture repair, sonophoresis, sonoporation, and gene therapy. The most common high power application is high intensity focused ultrasound. The absorption of ultrasonic energy causes thermal effects and non-thermal effects [1]. Thermal effects of ultrasound are enabled to increase the efficacy of drug therapies [2]. Drugs are encapsulated by thermosensitive liposomes (TSLs), which are released by thermal effects of ultrasound to the desired region [3]. Other body parts avoid unwanted effects because drugs effectively deliver to the target organ and less medication in the blood. Non-thermal effects of ultrasound
can temporarily increase the permeability of biological barriers by acoustic cavitation. For example, ultrasound is to increase the permeability of the blood-brain barrier to treat the brain organ. The permeability of cell membranes also can be increased by the same technique. Kivinen developed a prototype device for a large molecule delivery in vitro using ultrasound, producing cavitation at a high power level [4]. It is helpful to explore the potential of this technology for targeted therapies in sonoporation.

An ultrasound transducer is a device that converts electrical energy into ultrasound energy. Typical ultrasonic transducers are piezoelectric transducers, capacitive transducers, and magnetostrictive transducers. Piezoelectric transducers comprise piezoelectric crystals, oscillating at a specific frequency to produce ultrasound [5,6]. The conductive diaphragm of capacitive transducers vibrates in the electrical fields at high frequencies. Magnetostrictive transducers use iron-rich metals that expand and contract in a variable magnetic field. Radio-frequency (RF) power amplifiers drive ultrasound transducers and amplifiers to cooperate with a given transducer. For example, the electrical model of the sonoporation device consists of a set of piezoelectric transducers and specific driving circuits [4]. Kivinen designed a Class DE amplifier. However, this amplifier is sensitive to impedance variations, which will affect design flexibility. Hence, a Class D amplifier is used in this thesis.

The advantages of the Class D amplifier are ideal 100\% efficiency, simple topology, and variations in impedance to the load. Class D amplifiers are commonly used to drive ultrasound transducers in [9-19, 21-24, 26, 27]. In these applications, there is no explicit design methodology for Class D am-
plifiers. This thesis presents a design methodology for Class D amplifiers driving piezoelectric transducers. The methodology can be applied in the sonoporation system [4], which comprises a set of six ultrasound transducers operated at frequencies close to 1 MHz . Simulation results for all six transducers verify design performance and the circuit analysis, including analysis for components parameter variations. This proposed amplifier can provide approximately up to 50 W at 1034 kHz with a power efficiency of $97 \%$. This design is low-cost, power-efficient, and tolerant to variations in component values and transducer impedance.

### 1.2 Thesis Overview

Chapter 2 illustrates the basic information about power amplifiers and reviews the literature on power amplifiers for piezoelectric transducers loads. Chapter 3 analyzes the proposed Class D ultrasound driver and presents the design methodology demonstrated in the sonoporation system to the transducers. Chapter 4 summarizes the conclusions of this thesis and suggests future work.

## Chapter 2

## Literature Review and Basic Concepts

### 2.1 Introduction

Power amplifiers, such as Class A, Class D, and Class DE amplifiers, commonly drive ultrasound transducers. However, Class A amplifiers are less efficient. Other published works that provide high efficiencies, such as Class D amplifiers or other types of amplifiers, for driving piezoelectric transducer loads, will be reviewed. This chapter is organized as follows: Section 2.2 illustrates the basic concepts of different power amplifiers, and Section 2.3 covers the electrical model of the ultrasound transducer. Section 2.4 reviews previously published works.

### 2.2 Background of Power Amplifiers



Figure 2.1: A diagram of an RF power amplifier [7].

The diagram of the RF power amplifier is shown in Figure 2.1. Radiofrequency ( RF ) power amplifiers consist of an RF choke, a MOS transistor, an input network, and an output network. In the circuit, the transistor can work as a dependent current source or a switch. If the transistor operates as a dependent current source, this amplifier is called a current-mode amplifier; if the transistor operates as a switch, the amplifier is called a switch-mode amplifier [7] [8]. The basic concepts of different mode amplifiers will be explained as follows.

### 2.2.1 Current-mode Power Amplifiers



Figure 2.2: A schematic of the Class A, Class B, and Class C amplifier [7].

The general schematic of the current-mode amplifier is shown in Figure 2.2, which is connected to the capacitor, the impedance tuning network, and the load. The classification of current-mode amplifiers is based on the conduction angle of the drain current and biasing conditions. The transistor operates as a dependent current source, which is controlled by the gate-to-source voltage $V_{g s}$. Conduction angles vary between different classes of amplifiers as follows: the transistor of Class A conducts the whole cycle $\left(\theta_{c}=360^{\circ}\right)$, the transistor of the Class B amplifier conducts half a cycle $\left(\theta_{c}=180^{\circ}\right)$, and that of Class C conducts less than a half cycle $\left(\theta_{c}<180^{\circ}\right)$. Figure 2.3 illustrates the relationship between the drain current $i_{D}$ of current-mode amplifiers and conduction angles [7].


Figure 2.3: Waveforms of the drain current $i_{D}$ of different class operations: (a) Class A, (b) Class B; (c) Class C.


Figure 2.4: The operating points of different classes of amplifiers.

Figure 2.4 shows the operating points of the current-mode amplifiers [7]. The gate-to-source voltage $V_{g s}$ of the transistor in the Class A amplifier is greater than the threshold voltage $V_{t h}$, and the drain-to-source voltage $V_{d s}$ is higher than $V_{g s}-V_{t h}$. Therefore, the transistor is in the saturation region. The transistor in the Class B amplifier is between the cut-off and the saturation region because of $V_{g s}=V_{t h}$ and $V_{d s}>V_{g s}-V_{t h}$. Due to $V_{g s}<V_{t h}$, the transistor of the Class C amplifier is in the cut-off region.


Figure 2.5: The waveform of the drain current $i_{D}$ is in the time domain.

The drain current at the biasing point can be presented by a function of the conduction angle $\theta_{c}$, as the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{D}\left(\theta_{c}\right)=i_{Q}+i_{s} \cos \left(\theta_{c}\right),\left(-\theta_{a}<\theta_{c}<\theta_{a}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

As shown in Figure 2.5, $i_{D P}$ is zero when $\theta_{c}=\theta_{a}$; thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \left(\theta_{a}\right)=-\frac{i_{Q}}{i_{s}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{s}=i_{D P}-i_{Q} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i_{D P}$ is the maximum drain current and $i_{Q}$ is biasing DC current. There-
fore, the drain current $i_{D}$ can be represented by

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{D}\left(\theta_{c}\right)=\frac{i_{D P}}{1-\cos \left(\theta_{a}\right)}\left[\cos \left(\theta_{c}\right)-\cos \left(\theta_{a}\right)\right] . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The DC current during the conduction period $\left[-\theta_{a}, \theta_{a}\right]$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{D C}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\theta_{a}}^{\theta_{a}} i_{D}\left(\theta_{c}\right) d(\theta) . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting into Eq.(2.4),

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{D C}=\frac{i_{D P}}{2 \pi} \cdot \frac{2 \sin \left(\theta_{a}\right)-2 \theta_{a} \cdot \cos \left(\theta_{a}\right)}{1-\cos \left(\theta_{a}\right)} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fundamental component of the drain current is

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\theta_{a}}^{\theta_{a}} i_{D}\left(\theta_{c}\right) \cdot \cos \left(\theta_{c}\right) d(\theta)=\frac{i_{D P}}{2 \pi} \cdot \frac{2 \theta_{a}-\sin \left(2 \theta_{a}\right)}{1-\cos \left(\theta_{a}\right)} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The input power is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{i n}=V_{d d} \cdot I_{D C}=\frac{V_{d d}}{2} \cdot \frac{i_{D P}}{2 \pi} \cdot \frac{2 \sin \left(\theta_{a}\right)-2 \theta_{a} \cdot \cos \left(\theta_{a}\right)}{1-\cos \left(\theta_{a}\right)} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The output power is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{o}=V_{d d} \cdot I_{1}=V_{d d} \cdot \frac{i_{D P}}{2 \pi} \cdot \frac{2 \theta_{a}-\sin \left(2 \theta_{a}\right)}{1-\cos \left(\theta_{a}\right)} . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the power efficiency is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=\frac{P_{o}}{P_{i n}}=\frac{2 \theta_{a}-\sin 2 \theta_{a}}{2 \cdot\left[2 \sin \left(\theta_{a}\right)-2 \theta_{a} \cdot \cos \left(\theta_{a}\right)\right]} . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Class A amplifier

The schematic of the Class A amplifier is shown in Figure 2.2. The operating point of a transistor for a Class A amplifier is not in the cut-off region because of $V_{g s}>V_{t h}$ and $V_{d s}>V_{g s}-V_{t h}$. Thence, the transistor M1 conducts
the whole duty cycle so that the conduction angle is $\theta_{c}=2 \pi$. From Eq.(2.10), the maximum efficiency is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{\max }=\frac{2 \pi-\sin 2 \pi}{2 \cdot[2 \sin \pi-2 \pi \cdot \cos \pi]}=\frac{1}{2} . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Class A amplifiers have high linearity and low distortion but offer poor efficiency. As a result, high power losses are large, and heat problems are generated, which raises the temperature and damages the circuitry. Therefore, it is not the preferred choice.

## Class B amplifier

Figure 2.3 demonstrates the operation diagram for Class B power amplifiers. As shown in Figure 2.4, $V_{g s}=V_{t h}$, the operating point of the transistor is at the boundary between the cut-off region and saturation region. The transistor M1 will conduct when $V_{g s}>V_{t h}$, and it will be in the saturation region for $V_{d s}>V_{g s}-V_{t h}$. Therefore, the transistor conducts half a cycle for the Class B amplifier [7]. The drain current $i_{D}$ is a half-wave, and the conduction angle of the Class B amplifier is $\pi$. Using $\theta_{c}=\pi$, the maximum efficiency of the Class B amplifier becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{\max }=\frac{\pi-\sin \pi}{2 \cdot\left[2 \sin \frac{\pi}{2}-\pi \cdot \cos \frac{\pi}{2}\right]}=\frac{\pi}{4} . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the maximum efficiency of the Class B amplifier is higher than Class A.

## Class C amplifier

The operating point of the transistor for the Class C amplifier is in the cut-off region because of $V_{g s}<V_{t h}$. The Class C amplifier has a conduction
angle range from 0 to $\pi$ so that the drain efficiency can increase from $50 \%$ to $100 \%$. Since the reduction conduction angle, the Class C amplifier has a higher efficiency than Class B and Class A amplifiers. However, the transistor conducts less than half a cycle, and the conduction angle is small, which means the most input signals are missing in output signals. This case causes distortions between input signals and output signals, so the Class C amplifier is non-linear.

### 2.2.2 Switch-mode Power Amplifiers

Switch-mode amplifiers are classified by operating principle. They are commonly used in many applications because of their high-efficiency and simple circuitry. The efficiency of switch-mode amplifiers is high since the transistor nonzero voltage and current do not overlap. If the voltage is high, the current is zero and vice versa. Therefore, the maximum efficiency is $100 \%$ in theory [7]. In practice, the high efficiency of a switch-mode amplifier is above $90 \%$, and the efficiency reduces by the conduction and switching loss of the transistor.

## Class D amplifier



Figure 2.6: A schematic of the Class D amplifier [7].

The schematic of the Class D half-bridge amplifier is shown in Figure 2.6. Two transistors act as two switches, and the series resonant circuit is connected to the output of two switches. The series resonant circuit charges and discharges are based on turning the two switches ON and OFF. The LC matching network eliminates harmonics caused by the square waveforms from the output of the two switches. Furthermore, the matching network is used to match impedance and reduce noise. If the loaded quality factor Q of the series resonant circuit is high enough, the current is sinusoidal. Pulse width modulation (PWM) is a typical method to drive the Class D amplifier.

The input signals are converted to continuous square pulses by this modulation technique. The carrier frequency should be at least ten times the input modulating frequency for correct amplification [17].

Generally, the operating frequency of the Class D amplifier should be above the resonant frequency of the matching network. If the operating frequency is less than the resonant frequency, the resonant circuit represents a capacitive load. The high current spike caused by the capacitive load current can damage the MOSFET device during the on-off transition. Several methods avoid capacitive behaviours, such as snubber circuits, an inductor, or high on-resistance transistors [7].

The Class D amplifier is commonly used to drive an ultrasound transducer because of low voltage stress, high efficiency, and simple topology characteristics. More details of the Class D amplifier analysis are presented in Chapter 3.

Class E amplifier


Figure 2.7: A schematic of the Class E amplifier [8].

The circuit configuration of the Class E amplifier is shown in Figure 2.7. The Class E amplifier consists of a choke inductor $L_{f}$, one transistor M1, a shunt capacitor C 1 , and an L-C-R series resonant circuit. The transistor turns on at zero voltage and zero derivative voltage, so the turn-on switching loss is zero [8].


Figure 2.8: Waveforms of the gate singal, switch current and switch voltage [7].

Figure 2.8 demonstrates the waveforms of switch operations. The top figure shows the gate signal of the transistor, the medium figure illustrates the transistor current, and the bottom figure plots the voltage through the switch. As Figure 2.8 shows, the voltage and the current of the transistor are not overlapped, yielding the switching loss as low. The transistor turns ON at zero voltage and zero derivative voltage, so the Class E amplifier satisfies zero-voltage switching (ZVS) and zero-derivative switching (ZDS) operations. Combining waveform equations with ZVS and ZDS operations, find the relationship between the conduction angle and switch voltage and current, resulting in the amplitude of switch voltage $V_{d s m a x}$ is 3.562 times the supply
voltage, and the peak value of the switch current $I_{S M}$ is 2.862 times of the input current [7].

Class DE amplifier


Figure 2.9: A schematic of the Class DE amplifier [7].

The circuit of the Class DE amplifier consists of two transistors, a series resonant circuit, and shunt capacitors. Its schematic is shown in Figure 2.8. The Class DE amplifier combines the properties of Class D with Class E operations to reach high efficiency. The M1 and M2 are alternatively ON and OFF, and the typical duty cycle D of the Class DE amplifier is 0.25 .







Figure 2.10: Waveforms of gate signals, switch currents, parallel capacitor currents and switch voltages [7].

Figure 2.10 illustrates the operations of the Class DE amplifier. From 0 to $\frac{\pi}{2}$, M1 is ON, and M2 is OFF. The drain-to-source voltage $V_{d s 1}$ of the transistor M 1 is 0 V in this interval, and the voltage $V_{d s 2}$ of the transistor M2 is equal to the supply voltage $V_{D D}$. During this time interval, the current of
the series branch $i_{o}$ is rising, and the current of parallel capacitors $i_{c 1}$ is zero since $V_{d s}=0$.

From $\frac{\pi}{2}$ to $\pi$, both M1 and M2 are OFF. Two currents of two transistors $i_{s 1}$ and $i_{s 2}$ are 0 during this interval. The parallel capacitor C 1 will provide the current for the series resonant circuit, and $i_{C 1}$ reduces from the peak value to zero. The output voltage decreases to the minimum $\left(V_{d s 2}=0 V\right)$, and the derivative of the output voltage is zero at $t=\pi$. As a result, ZVS and ZDS conditions are met.

From $\pi$ to $\frac{3 \pi}{2}$, M1 is still OFF, and M2 turns ON. The current $i_{s 2}$ flows to the switch M2 in the opposite direction of $i_{s 1}\left(i_{s 2}=-i_{s 1}\right)$. The voltage of the two switches at the output is 0 V , with no voltage across M2. No current in the parallel capacitor $C_{p}$ and the series resonance branch will discharge to M2.

From $\frac{3 \pi}{2}$ to $2 \pi$, M1 and M2 are OFF. The operations will be the same as the last deadtime.

The disadvantage of the Class DE amplifier is that it is less flexible and that it is required to work at a fixed duty cycle and satisfy both ZVS and ZDS operations. Otherwise, the efficiency will decrease.

A summary of the different classes of amplifiers is shown in the following.

Table 2.1: A summary of the different classes of amplifier

| Class | Mode | Maximum Efficiency | Characteristics |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Current-mode | $50 \%$ | Low distortion <br> $\theta=2 \pi$ <br> Linear |
| B | Current-mode | $78.5 \%$ | Linear <br> $\theta=\pi$ <br> High efficiency |
| C | Current-mode | $50-100 \%$ | Non-linear <br> $\theta<\pi$ |
| D | Switch-mode | $100 \%$ | Low voltage stress |
| E | Switch-mode | $100 \%$ | High voltage stress <br> ZVS and ZDS conditions |
| DE | Switch-mode | $100 \%$ | Low voltage stress <br> ZVS and ZDS conditions |

### 2.2.3 Other Topologies for Switch-mode Amplifiers

In this section, some variations of switch-mode amplifiers are described.

## Step-up topology



Figure 2.11: A schematic of the step-up driving topology [9].

The schematic of the step-up driving topology is shown in Figure 2.11. Firstly, switches S1 and S2 are ON, and the inductor L1 is charging. After this period, S2 is OFF, and the inductor charges the transducer. Lastly, S1 turns OFF, and S3 turns ON, and the transducer is discharged [9].

This topology has three switches, and the circuit that controls these switches gets complex. Additionally, the diode in this circuit will increase power consumption.

## Flyback topology



Figure 2.12: A schematic of the flyback topology [9].

Figure 2.12 illustrates the flyback topology that uses a transformer with a switch. The primary winding is connected to the supply voltage, and the secondary winding is connected to the transducer. This topology is simple, but the transducer and the secondary winding can create an oscillation loop [9].

## Push-pull topology



Figure 2.13: A schematic of the push-pull topology [9].

Figure 2.13 demonstrates a push-pull topology. Switches S1 and S2 are alternatively ON and OFF with a duty cycle of 0.5 . The secondary winding is connected to the transducer directly. This transformer matches the power supply and transducer to enhance efficiency [9]. The major drawback is that the operating frequency is limited.

### 2.3 Ultrasound Transducer



Figure 2.14: A exploded view of a transducer [6].

The ultrasonic transducer structure is composed of three parts: the housing, the backing layer, and the piezoelectric crystal called a piezoelectric resonator, as shown in Figure 2.14 [6]. The rightmost component produces ultrasound. Electrodes are connected to both sides of the resonator by coax cable in order to transmit signals. The housing is the outer case, protecting the piezoelectric resonator and providing air backing for the internal connection.

### 2.3.1 Electrical Model of the Piezoelectric resonator



Figure 2.15: An equivalent circuit of the piezoelectric resonator [6].

The electric model of the piezoelectric resonator is represented by a Butterworth Van Dyke circuit, and the equivalent circuit is depicted in Figure 2.15. It consists of a capacitor $C_{o}$ and a series branch. The impedance of the BVD circuit can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\frac{\frac{1}{j \omega C_{o}}\left(\frac{1}{j \omega C_{s}}+R_{s}+j \omega L_{s}\right)}{\frac{1}{j \omega C_{o}}+\frac{1}{j \omega C_{s}}+R_{s}+j \omega L_{s}}, \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega$ is the angular frequency, and j is $\sqrt{-1}$. The parallel capacitor $C_{o}$ illustrates the static capacitor of the resonator, which is determined by the physical characteristics. The series branch comprises $L_{s}, C_{s}$, and $R_{s}$ components, which determined the mechanical oscillating characteristics of the resonator, such as the mechanical losses, and the mechanical power transferred to the acoustic field.

The components values of the equivalent circuit can be calculated using
the below equations [22] [23].

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{o}=\frac{-I_{m}\left(Z_{s}\right)}{2 \pi f_{s}\left|Z_{s}\right|^{2}}, \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{s}$ is the series frequency in which the real part of the admittance is minimum and $f_{p}$ is the parallel frequency when the real part of the impedance is the maximum. The series branch components of the equivalent circuit can be obtained as the following:

$$
\begin{gather*}
L_{s}=\frac{1}{\left(2 \pi f_{s}\right)^{2} C_{s}},  \tag{2.15}\\
C_{s}=C_{o}\left[\left(\frac{f_{p}}{f_{s}}\right)^{2}-1\right], \tag{2.16}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{s}=\frac{\left|Z_{s}\right|^{2}}{R_{e}\left(Z_{s}\right)} . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.4 Review of Previous Works

The section reviews typical published applications of different amplifiers, such as Class AB, Class B and Class D amplifiers, which are reviewed orderly, starting from current-mode to switch-mode amplifiers.

### 2.4.1 Class B and Class AB Amplifiers



Figure 2.16: The schematic of the Class AB amplifier designed by Capineri [10].

Capineri proposed a linear Class AB amplifier used to drive low impedance ultrasonic transducers with low distortion [10]. Figure 2.16 illustrates the schematic of the amplifier module. The amplifier can provide a $\pm 60 \mathrm{~V}$ output swing with a bandwidth up to 15 MHz and an output current of 5 A . It also offers around 60 W of output power with less than $8 \%$ of the total harmonic distortion. However, it suffers from low efficiency $(56 \%)$ at 1 MHz . Therefore, the Class AB amplifier is not suitable for this thesis.

Ghisu et al. developed an integrated high voltage linear Class AB amplifier with the current feedback to generate wide closed-loop bandwidth output
signals. This Class AB amplifier can drive a load of 50 pF in parallel with $100 \Omega$ with an output voltage of $180 V_{p p}$ at a frequency of up to 20 MHz [11]. However, efficiency is not mentioned. In theory, the maximum efficiency of the Class AB amplifier is $78.5 \%$.


Figure 2.17: A schematic of the Class B amplifier [12].

Bianchi et al. designed a Class B amplifier for ultrasound transducers, which could operate at 6.5 MHz bandwidth at the output swing of $90 V_{p p}$, the output power of 20 W and the efficiency above $60 \%$ [12]. Figure 2.17 shows the simplified circuit of the Class B module. The efficiency of this design is higher than the previous Class AB amplifier application [10]; however, the
efficiency decreases as the frequency increases. Thus, the Class B amplifier does not meet the objectives of this thesis.

### 2.4.2 Class D Amplifiers



Figure 2.18: A schematic of the Class D amplifier [13].

Farhanieh et al. proposed a portable HIFU driving system for a Capacitive Micromachined Ultrasonic Transducers (CMUT). The amplifier provides 20 $V_{p p}$ pulses and delivers an output power of 130 mW to the transducer with a 130 V DC bias, and the overall efficiency of this system is $23 \%$ [13]. Figure 2.18
shows the schematic of the designed high-voltage driver. The design uses a Class D amplifier to drive a high voltage ultrasound transducer without the filter. Since the CMUTs in the design are not connected to a matching network, the load keeps harmonics affecting the output power. Furthermore, the load impedance is capacitive. As previously discussed, the capacitive load can increase the switching losses to decrease efficiency.


Figure 2.19: A schematic of Class D amplifier proposed by Ang et al. [14].

Ang et al. fabricated an integrated amplifier to produce the output power up to 800 mW at 1.5 MHz with an efficiency of $70 \%$ for low-intensity pulsed ultrasound (LIPUS) [14]. The schematic of this driving circuit is shown in Figure 2.19. The LIPUS driving system is comprised of a power supply, a pulse-modulated gate signal, PMOSs and NMOSs, an L-type tuning network
and a piezoelectric transducer. The author illustrated the overall efficiency is $14 \%$ for the average power consumption is approximated as 800 mW . At 1.5 MHz , the transducer efficiency is estimated at $20 \%$. Therefore, the efficiency of this system is deduced to $70 \%\left(\eta=\frac{14 \%}{20 \%}=70 \%\right)$.


Figure 2.20: A schematic of the Class D amplifier with a filter [15].

Hall et al. designed a Class D amplifier to drive an ultrasound system, generating 20 W output power per channel with $90 \%$ efficiency [15]. Figure 2.20 shows the schematic diagram of this design for one channel. TTL gate signals control a PMOS and an NMOS. The PMOS is connected to the high-side that needs a level shifter, consisting of capacitors C1 and C2 and resistors R1 and R2. The filter for each channel consists of an inductor and a shunt capacitor.

The filter is to eliminate harmonics at the output of the two transistors and reduce the variation between the component impedances. This article has not mentioned the details of the design method.


Figure 2.21: A schematic of the Class D amplifier without a matching network [16].

Lewis and Olbricht proposed a Class D amplifier providing 48W output power at 1.5 MHz for a high-intensity ultrasound system [16], as shown in Figure 2.21. The authors said that the driver provides a switching voltage up to $100 V_{p p}$ to the impedance that is less than $0.05 \Omega$ without an impedance tuning network. There is no matching network, and the output impedance is low, so the output power is increased. However, the output of the Class D amplifier is a square waveform. If the square wave from the output of the two switches is delivered to the ultrasound transducer, it will remain harmonics
reduced the output power. Therefore, the power efficiency decreases.


Figure 2.22: A diagram of the Class D amplifier designed by Agbossou et al. [17].

Agbossou et al. developed a full-bridge Class D amplifier to drive an acoustic cavitation reactor with an output power of 2 kW , a high efficiency ( $>90 \%$ ) in the operating in a range of 10 kHz to 100 kHz [17]. Figure 2.22 illustrates the diagram of the design. An RC snubber circuit and parallel diodes are used to protect the MOSFETs, and the pulses generated by the PWM technique are used to protect the MOSFETs. A low pass filter is used to avoid the capacitive load caused by temperature variations in the transducers. Moreover, the current spikes and overvoltage caused by the capacitive load can damage the circuit. The operating frequency of the capacitive load is at a frequency that is less than the resonant frequency. Therefore, the piezoelectric load should be resistive or inductive to operate well, and the operating frequency should
be near the series resonant frequency. The authors do not detail the low-pass filter design method, but he points out that the L-C filter is the second-order low-pass Butterworth filter for any frequency within its inductive frequency range.


Figure 2.23: A diagram of the Class D Amplifier [18].

Yang and Xu designed a Class D amplifier for an Audio Beam system, operated between 20 kHz and 60 kHz [18]. Figure 2.23 shows the schematic of this design. The amplifier is composed of four transistors, two inductors, and two resistors. The two resistors, R1 and R2, are monitored by the overcurrent protection section to monitor the output. The feedback signal will be sent to the gate driving circuit to control the MOSFET. The pair of inductors L1 and L2 solves the problem caused by a capacitive load. This topology is suitable for audio frequency, but this low frequency is unsuitable for this thesis.

### 2.4.3 Class E Amplifiers



Figure 2.24: A schematic of the Class E amplifier [19].

Yuan et al. proposed a Class E amplifier to drive an inductive Langevin transducer at optimum frequencies [19]. Langevin transducers comprised of piezoelectric rings, front mass, back mass, acoustic horn and central bolt [20], are commonly used in ultrasonic machining and cleaning, underwater acoustics, ultrasonic motor and sonochemistry. Figure 2.24 illustrates the schematic of the Class E amplifier. The experimental results indicated that the driver had a good performance between the resonance and anti-resonance
frequency. Therefore, the proposed amplifier should operate in its inductive frequency range to get the maximum efficiency.


Figure 2.25: A diagram of the Class E amplifier [21].

Cheng et al. designed a Class E amplifier for driving a piezoelectric ceramic transducer that delivered the output power of 42 W at 41 kHz with an efficiency of $90 \%$ [21]. The circuit is composed of a flyback converter and a Class E resonant inverter, shown in Figure 2.25 . The efficiency of the amplifier is high due to low switching loss. The Class E amplifier must operate in the suboptimum mode to achieve low-switching. The capacitor should be fully discharged before the switch is turned ON. Therefore, the power efficiency is high.

### 2.4.4 Class DE Amplifiers



Figure 2.26: A schematic of the half-bridge Class DE Amplifier [22].

Wong proposed a Class DE amplifier without a matching network to drive ultrasonic transducers for HIFU therapy. The schematic of the class DE amplifier is illustrated in Figure 2.26. The Class DE amplifier achieved 830 mW output power at 1010 kHz with $90 \%$ efficiency [22]. The amplifier has to be in optimum conditions to perform an efficient operation. Compared to the Class D amplifier, the Class DE amplifier is less flexible. The Class DE amplifier has to operate for ZVS and ZDS operations that boost power efficiency.


Figure 2.27: A schematic of the full-fridge Class DE amplifier [23].

Song used the same topology that Wong has used to improve a full-bridge Class DE to boost output power; the schematic is shown in Figure 2.27. This full-bridge amplifier employs four transistors fabricated in a $35 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ CMOS process. This allows the power of the full-bridge amplifier to be four times greater than the half-bridge amplifier using the same supply voltage and to reach 3.6W of output power with $89.4 \%$ efficiency [23]. The Class DE amplifier is efficient to drive different transducers by adjusting the operating frequency and reprogramming the duty cycle without matching networks. However, if the Class DE amplifier is not optimum, high current spikes affect power efficiency.


Figure 2.28: A schematic of the Class DE amplifier designed by Kivinen [4].

Kivinen designed a Class DE amplifier to drive the sonoporation device so that the amplifier can deliver 134 W of output power with $90 \%$ of the overall efficiency at $1 \mathrm{MHz}[4]$. Figure 2.28 shows the schematic of the Class DE amplifier. The circuit consists of two transistors, the parallel drain capacitors and the RLC circuit. The simulation shows that the voltage through the transistor is not zero in the beginning, causing a large spike in the drain current, which increases the switching losses. Moreover, the gate driver is not included in the design, and it should be implemented.

### 2.4.5 Summary of Amplifiers in the Literature

Table 2.2 summarizes all previous works in this chapter. The Class D amplifier will be used in this thesis because of its high operating frequency and high efficiency. The Class D amplifier is more flexible than the Class DE amplifier and the Class E amplifier.

Table 2.2: A summary of different classes of amplifiers for the literature reviews

| Reference | Topology | Operating Frequency | Output Voltage | Output power | Efficiency | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [4] | Class DE | 1 MHz | $200 V_{p p}$ | 134W | 89.5\% | High efficiency <br> Needs matching network <br> Less flexibility |
| [10] | Class AB | 10 MHz | $60 V_{p p}$ | 59.89W | 56\% | Low efficiency |
| [11] | Class AB | 20 MHz | $180 V_{p p}$ | 266 W | - | Low efficiency |
| [12] | Class B | 6 MHz | $90 V_{p p}$ | 20W | > $60 \%$ | Low efficiency Needs tuning network |
| [13] | Class D | 10 MHz | $20 V_{p p}$ | 0.13 W | 23\% | Low output power <br> Low efficiency <br> No matching network |
| [14] | Class D | 1.5 MHz | 7.6 V | 0.8W | 70\% | Low output power Low efficiency <br> Needs matching network |
| [15] | Class D | 1 MHz | - | 20W | 90\% | High efficiency <br> Needs matching network |
| [16] | Class D | 1.5 MHz | $100 V_{p p}$ | 48W | 90\% | Low output impedance <br> High efficiency <br> No matching network |
| [17] | Class D | $10 \mathrm{kHz}-100 \mathrm{kHz}$ | $200 V_{p p}$ | 2000W | 95\% | High efficiency <br> Needs matching network |
| [18] | Class D | $20-60 \mathrm{kHz}$ | $120 V_{p p}$ | 44 W | 91\% | High efficiency <br> Needs matching network |
| [21] | Class E | 41 kHz | $100 V_{p p}$ | 42 W | 93\% | High efficiency <br> Needs high choke inductor |
| [22] | Class DE | 1 MHz | $20 V_{p p}$ | 0.83W | 90\% | High efficiency <br> No matching network |
| [23] | ClassDE | 1 MHz | $20 V_{p p}$ | 3.6 W | 89\% | High efficiency <br> Programmable <br> digital logic unit |

## Chapter 3

## Proposed Power Amplifier

### 3.1 Introduction



Figure 3.1: A block diagram of the design.

This chapter illustrates details of a new design methodology of a halfbridge ZVS Class D amplifier, including the L-C filter design method, and the corner analysis of matching network component variations. The block diagram of the design is depicted in Figure 3.1. This proposed amplifier is shown in Figure 3.2, which consists of a half-bridge gate driver, two NMOSs and an L-C filter.

### 3.2 Amplifier Analysis



Figure 3.2: A simplified schematic of a Class D amplifier.

Figure 3.2 illustrates two NMOSs composed of two switches S1 and S2, with anti-parallel diodes D1 and D2. The filter is comprised of an inductor and a
capacitor connected in series. The analysis of this Class D power amplifier is based on the following assumptions:

1. Switches and parallel diodes are ideal, and the switches are assumed to be NMOS transistors. Initially, the drain capacitances of these switches are neglected.
2. The loaded quality factor $(Q)$ is high enough to make the inductor current to be approximately sinusoidal.
3. The amplifier is designed and operated to produce the ideal waveforms shown in Figures 3.3 and 3.4.

### 3.2.1 Waveform Equations



Figure 3.3: Waveforms of the gate voltage $V_{g s}$, the drain voltage $V_{i n}$, the fundamental component $V_{1}$ of $V_{i n}$, and the inductor current $i_{L}$.

Figure 3.3 shows the gate signals $V_{g s}$, the drain voltage $V_{i n}$, the fundamental component of the drain voltage $V_{1}$, and the inductor current $i_{L}$ waveforms. The current $i_{L}$ lags behind the voltage $V_{1}$, resulting in the inductive load. The operation of the amplifier is described next.


Figure 3.4: Waveforms of voltages $V_{d s}$ and currents $i_{s}$ of two switches.

The operation waveforms are shown in Figure 3.4. S1 and S2 turn ON alternatively and satisfy the ZVS condition. During the time interval $[0$, $\theta_{c}$ ], switch S 1 is ON , and switch S 2 is OFF. The drain voltage $V_{d s 1}$ is zero. Current $i_{s 1}$ flows to S 1 , and no current through the drain capacitor. The series resonant circuit is charging. For $\left[\theta_{c}, \pi\right]$, both S 1 and S 2 are OFF, called dead time. During $\left[\theta_{c}, \theta_{1}\right]$, there is no current through any switches. The inductor discharges the drain capacitor. Voltage $V_{d s 2}$ across switch S 2 reaches zero. During $\left[\theta_{1}, \pi\right]$, the diode D 2 in parallel with S 2 is ON . At $\left[\pi, \pi+\theta_{c}\right]$, the switch S 2 turns ON, and S1 turns OFF. Voltage $V_{d s 1}$ remains $V_{D D}$, and S 2 conducts at $\pi$. For $\left[\pi+\theta_{c}, \pi+\theta_{1}\right]$, this operation is identical to the first operation as the deadtime. Lastly, the anti-parallel diode D1 is ON at $\left[\pi+\theta_{1}, 2 \pi\right]$.

Based on the above assumptions, the input voltage and current of the load and related components are written as the following equations. Note that all equations are derived according to the ideal waveforms and conditions.

The duty cycle D is the turn-on time over a period ( T ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\frac{t_{o n}}{T} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where D is related to the conduction angle, as shown below:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{c}=\pi(1-2 D) . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The drain voltage is a square wave, as expressed by

$$
V_{i n}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
V_{D D} \text { for }-\pi+\theta_{c}<\theta \leq \theta_{c}  \tag{3.3}\\
0 \text { for } \theta_{c}<\theta \leq \pi+\theta_{c}
\end{array} .\right.
$$

The phasors corresponding to the fundamental component of the drain voltage and inductor current of the load are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{L} & =\frac{2}{\pi} V_{D D} \exp j\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}+\theta\right)  \tag{3.4}\\
I_{L} & =I_{p} \exp j\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}-\phi\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $I_{p}$ is the peak value of the inductor current and $j$ is the imaginary unit. $\phi$ is the displacement angle between the drain voltage and the inductor current, and $\phi$ must be positive for the ZVS operation. The drain voltage and the inductor current are related by the input impedance of the $\operatorname{load}\left(Z_{\text {in }}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{i n}=\frac{V_{L}}{I_{L}}=\frac{2 V_{D D}}{\pi I_{p}} \exp j(\phi+\theta) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

therefore, the real and imaginary parts of $Z_{i n}=R_{i n}+j X_{i n}$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{i n} & =\frac{2 V_{D D}}{\pi I_{p}} \cos (\phi+\theta)  \tag{3.7}\\
X_{i n} & =\frac{2 V_{D D}}{\pi I_{p}} \sin (\phi+\theta) . \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

As discussed, to maintain the ZVS operation, $\phi$ must be positive; thus, the duty cycle limit condition for a given load impedance is obtained by making $\phi=0$ and combining Equations (3.6) and (3.2):

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\min }=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \arctan \left(\frac{X_{i n}}{R_{i n}}\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The load factor $\alpha$ is defined as $\alpha=\frac{X_{i n}}{R_{i n}}$, so $\alpha$ is a factor in determining the duty cycle.

### 3.3 L-C Filter Design

Class D amplifiers need matching networks to achieve good performance. In this thesis, the L-C filter is designed based on the known transducers in [4]. The equivalent model of the transducer consists of a parallel capacitor $C_{o}$ in parallel with a series inductor $L_{s}$, capacitor $C_{s}$, and resistor $R_{s}$. The transducer equivalent circuit is shown in Figure 3.5.


Figure 3.5: An equivalent circuit of the transducer.

The transducer equivalent impedance $\left(Z_{t}\right)$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{t}=R_{t}+j X_{t}=\frac{1}{j \omega C_{o}+Y_{s}}=\frac{G s}{G_{s}^{2}+\left(B_{s}+\omega C_{o}\right)^{2}}-\frac{j\left(B_{s}+\omega C_{o}\right)}{G_{s}^{2}+\left(B_{s}+\omega C_{o}\right)^{2}}, \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y_{s}$ is the admittance of the series branch and $Y_{s}$ expresses as $Y_{s}=\frac{1}{Z_{s}}=$ $G_{s}+j B_{s} . G_{s}$ and $B_{s}$ are conductance and susceptance of the series branch.


Equivalent circuit of transducer

Figure 3.6: A diagram of an L-C type filter and the transducer.

The input impedance of the load is shown in Figure 3.6 and presented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{i n}=R_{i n}+j X_{i n}=j \omega L_{f}+Z_{e q}=j \omega L_{f}+j\left(-\frac{1}{\omega C_{f}}+X_{t}\right)+R_{t} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$Z_{e q}$ is the impedance that consists of the filter capacitor $C_{f}$ and transducer $Z_{t}$.

The design strategy is to make $Z_{i n}$ inductive and $Z_{e q}=R_{e q}+j X_{e q}$ capacitive with a quality factor that is high enough to ensure a sinusoidal current.

The quality factor of $Z_{e q}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\frac{\left|X_{e q}\right|}{R_{e q}}=\frac{\left|-\frac{1}{\omega C_{f}}+X_{t}\right|}{R_{t}} . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the filter capacitor $C_{f}$ can be estimated by setting Q to be a value near 3:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{f}=\frac{1}{\omega\left(Q \times R_{t}+X_{t}\right)} . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Once the filter capacitor $C_{f}$ is obtained, the filter inductor $L_{f}$ can be found from the ratio of the imaginary and real parts of the input impedance of the load, shown in the following derivation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{X_{i n}}{R_{i n}}=\frac{\omega L_{f}-\frac{1}{\omega C_{f}}+X_{t}}{R_{t}} . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equation (3.14), $L_{f}$ is obtained, as shown below:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{f}=\frac{\alpha R_{t}+\frac{1}{\omega C_{f}}-X_{t}}{\omega}=\frac{(\alpha+Q) R_{t}}{\omega} . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

For this design methodology, we propose to use $\mathrm{Q}=3$; the low Q is used to both tolerate the parameter variation and ensure that the inductor current is sinusoidal. If Q is greater than 2.5, the current across the resonant circuit is a sine wave [7]. Therefore, when $\mathrm{Q}=3$, the inductor is approximately a sine wave, satisfying the previous assumption.

Rearranging equation (3.11),

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{i n}=R_{i n}+j X_{i n}=R_{i n}+j \alpha R_{i n} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

so the magnitude impedance $\left|Z_{i n}\right|=R_{t} \sqrt{\left(1+\alpha^{2}\right)} . \quad Z_{\text {in }}$ influences the peak inductor current $I_{p}$, and $I_{p}$ can be derived by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{p}=\frac{V_{p}}{\left|Z_{i n}\right|}=\frac{2 V_{D D}}{\pi R_{t} \sqrt{1+\alpha^{2}}} . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

As shown in $\mathrm{Eq}(3.17)$, the peak value of the inductor current $I_{p}$ may be low when $R_{t}$ is large. If the inductor current is not large enough, the drain capacitor will not be entirely discharged during $\left[\theta_{c}, \theta_{1}\right]$. The inductor discharged current $i_{L}$ is estimated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{L}=\frac{d q_{d}}{d t} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q_{d}$ is the total drain charge.
Next, calculate the minimum value of $I_{p}$ that discharges the drain capacitor entirely.

$$
\begin{align*}
q_{d} & =\frac{T}{2 \pi} \int_{\theta_{c}}^{\pi} I_{p} \sin (\theta-\phi) d \theta  \tag{3.19}\\
& =\frac{I_{p} \cdot T}{2 \pi}\left[-\cos (\pi-\phi)+\cos \left(\theta_{c}-\phi\right)\right] ;
\end{align*}
$$

$q_{d}$ can be expressed as a function of the drain capacitance $C_{d s}$ using the following relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{d}=2 C_{d s} \cdot V_{D D} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the minimum $I_{p}$ to discharge the drain capacitance fully is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{p}=\frac{4 C_{d s} \cdot V_{D D} \cdot \pi}{T \cdot\left[-\cos (\pi-\phi)+\cos \left(\theta_{c}-\phi\right)\right]} . \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 3.7: The input equivalent circuit for the load with the parallel capacitor.

If $I_{p}$ is not high enough to fully discharge the drain capacitance, a capacitor $C_{p}$ should be added in parallel with the transducer to increase $I_{p}$. The new equivalent circuit is shown in Figure 3.7, and the new impedance $Z_{t}^{\prime}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{t}^{\prime} & =\frac{1}{j \omega\left(C_{p}+C_{o}\right)+Y_{s}} \\
& =R_{t}^{\prime}+j X_{t}^{\prime}  \tag{3.22}\\
& =\frac{G s}{G_{s}^{2}+\left(B_{s}+\omega\left(C_{p}+C_{o}\right)\right)^{2}}-\frac{j\left(B_{s}+\omega\left(C_{p}+C_{o}\right)\right)}{G_{s}^{2}+\left(B_{s}+\omega\left(C_{p}+C_{o}\right)\right)^{2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

The maximum acceptable $R_{t}^{\prime}$ is found by combining equation (3.21) with (3.17).

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{t}^{\prime}=\frac{T \cdot[-\cos (\pi-\phi)+\cos (2 \pi D-\phi)]}{2 C_{d s} \pi^{2} \sqrt{1+\alpha^{2}}} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Compare $R_{t}$ to $R_{t}^{\prime}$ can verify whether the inductor completely discharges the
drain capacitor or not. If $R_{t}>R_{t}^{\prime}$, the drain capacitor will not be fully charged; else, the drain capacitor would be charged completely.

If $R_{t}$ is too large, use equations (3.22) and (3.23) to obtain the parallel capacitor $C_{p}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{p}=\frac{\sqrt{\left(\frac{G_{s}}{R_{t}^{\prime}}-G_{s}^{2}\right)}-B_{s}}{\omega}-C_{o} . \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

As previously discussed in the L-C calculation, we can use the same method to calculate the L-C filter.

### 3.4 Output power and power loss

The transducer impedance $R_{t}$ is related to power when it is delivered to the transducer expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{o}=\frac{I_{p}^{2} \cdot R_{t}}{2}=\frac{2 V_{D D}^{2}}{R_{t}\left(1+\alpha^{2}\right) \pi^{2}} \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are a few losses when power is transferred to the load transducer, including the MOSFET loss $P_{m}$, the gate driver loss $P_{G}$, and the inductor loss $P_{L}$. All powers are average. The sum of these losses is the total power loss noted as $P_{\text {Loss }}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\text {Loss }}=2 \times\left(P_{s w}+P_{D}\right)+P_{L}+P_{G}=P_{m}+P_{L}+P_{G} . \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

When the transistor conducts, power consumption occurs. The power loss of the MOSFET $\left(P_{m}\right)$ consists of the diode conduction loss $\left(P_{D}\right)$ and the switch conduction loss $\left(P_{s w}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{m}=2\left(P_{s w}+P_{D}\right) \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the two transistors operate symmetrically, both switches and both diodes have the same conduction loss. The switch average conduction loss $\left(P_{s w}\right)$ is given as

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{s w} & =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\theta_{c}} r_{d s} I_{p}^{2} \sin ^{2}(\theta-\phi) d \theta  \tag{3.28}\\
& =\frac{I_{p}^{2} \cdot r_{d s}}{2 \pi}\left(\frac{\theta_{c}}{2}-\frac{\sin 2\left(\theta_{c}-\phi\right)}{4}-\frac{\sin 2 \phi}{4}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $r_{d s}$ is the transistor turn-on resistance.
The diode $D 2$ conduction power loss $\left(P_{D 2}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{D 2} & =\frac{V_{D 2} \cdot T}{2 \pi} \int_{\theta 1}^{\pi} I_{p} \sin (\theta-\phi) d \theta  \tag{3.29}\\
& =\frac{V_{D 2} \cdot T \cdot I_{p}}{2 \pi}\left[-\cos (\pi-\phi)+\cos \left(\theta_{1}-\phi\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where $V_{D 2}$ is the voltage across the diode D 2 , and $\theta_{1}$ is the start time of the diode conduction. If $\theta_{1}=\pi$, there is no diode loss.

The inductor average power loss $\left(P_{L}\right)$ in the series resonant inductor is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{L}=\frac{I_{p}^{2} \cdot r_{L}}{2} \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{L}$ is the inductor resistance.


Figure 3.8: A block diagram of the gate driver.

Figure 3.8 illustrates a block diagram of the gate driver. The gate driver loss formulas and related parameters can be found from the UCC27282 datasheet; $P_{G}$ consists of the static power loss $\left(P_{Q C}\right)$, the level-shifter loss $\left(P_{I H B S}\right)$, the dynamic loss $\left(P_{Q G}\right)$, and the dynamic level-shifter loss $P_{L S}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{G}=P_{Q C}+P_{I H B S}+P_{Q G}+P_{L S} \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The static power loss $\left(P_{Q C}\right)$ is caused by the quiescent currents ( $I_{C C}$ and $I_{H B}$ ) and is described as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{Q C}=\left(V_{C C} \times I_{C C}\right)+\left(V_{C C}-V_{D H}\right) \times I_{H B}, \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{C C}$ is the driver supply voltage, $I_{C C}$ is the $V_{C C}$ quiescent current, and the maximum value is $0.4 \mathrm{~mA} . V_{D H}$ is the bootstrap diode forward voltage drop, $I_{H B}$ is the HB quiescent current, and the maximum value is 0.4 mA .

The high-side to low-side leakage current ( $I_{H B S}$ ) generates the level-shifter loss ( $P_{\text {IHBS }}$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{I H B S}=\left(V_{C C} \times I_{H B S}\right) \times D, \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where D is the high-side MOSFET duty cycle, and $I_{H B S}$ is HB to VSS quiescent current. The maximum $I_{H B S}$ is $50 \mu A$.

The dynamic gate loss $\left(P_{Q G}\right)$ is due to the MOSFET gate charge $\left(q_{g}\right)$ shown as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{Q G}=2 \times V_{C C} \times q_{g} \times f_{s w} \times \frac{R_{G D}}{R_{G D}+R_{G A T E}+R_{G F E T}}, \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q_{g}$ is the total MOSFET gate charge, and $f_{s w}$ is the switching frequency. $R_{G D}$ is the average value of pull-up and pull-down resistors, which is estimated as $4 \Omega . R_{G A T E}$ is the external gate drive resistor, and we assume no external gate driver resistors.

The parasitic level-shifter charge $\left(q_{p}\right)$ on each switching cycle influences dynamic loss $\left(P_{L S}\right)$ during high-side switching

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{L S}=V_{H B} \times q_{p} \times f_{s w}, \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q_{p}$ is the parasitic charge.

As discussed before, the load factor $(\alpha)$ is related to the duty cycle. $\alpha$ is proposed to be 0.3 , so the output power will not be controlled by the load factor and the duty cycle. From equation (3.9), the duty cycle is

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \arctan \left(\frac{X_{i n}}{R_{i n}}\right)=0.45 \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi$ is assumed to be zero.

### 3.5 Design Example

### 3.5.1 Transducer Data and Design Steps

The proposed design methodology of the Class D amplifier is

1. Determine the optimum operating frequency of transducers based on the acoustic conversion efficiency, and obtain the equivalent circuit component values.
2. Determine either the supply voltage or the desired load power of the amplifier.
3. Calculate the filter component values and select the gate drivers based on the equations presented in the previous section.

All six transducers parameters are shown in Table 3.1, and the design conditions are shown in Table 3.2.

Table 3.1: Transducer parameters

| Transducer | A | B | C | D | E | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ls}(\mu \mathrm{H})$ | 195 | 203 | 162 | 175 | 170 | 208 |
| $\mathrm{Cs}(\mathrm{pF})$ | 130 | 125 | 156 | 145 | 148 | 121 |
| $\operatorname{Rs}(\Omega)$ | 40 | 41 | 43 | 47 | 41 | 39 |
| $C_{o}(\mathrm{pF})$ | 557 | 545 | 665 | 619 | 622 | 521 |
| $f_{s}(\mathrm{kHz})$ | 998 | 1000 | 1002 | 999 | 1005 | 1003 |
| $f_{p}(\mathrm{kHz})$ | 1106 | 1106 | 1109 | 1105 | 1114 | 1111 |

Table 3.2: Design parameters

| Supply voltage $V_{D D}(\mathrm{~V})$ | 30 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Gate signal $V_{G}(\mathrm{~V})$ | 10 |
| Gate charge $Q_{g}(\mathrm{nC})$ | 8.3 |
| Operating frequency $(\mathrm{kHz})$ | 1034 |
| Load factor $\alpha$ | 0.3 |

Substituting the above parameters into equations (3.23) and (3.24), the new load impedance $R_{t}^{\prime}$ and the parallel capacitor $C_{p}$ can be calculated. $R_{t}^{\prime}$ is chosen to be $90 \%$ of the maximum value to ensure that there will be no switching losses. A lower $R_{t}^{\prime}$ value will result in a faster discharge of the drain capacitance and, thus, no switching losses. The calculated value of $C_{p}$ from equation (3.23) and the chosen standard value $C_{p}^{\prime}$ are shown in Table 3.3.

Table 3.3: The parallel capacitances $C_{p}$ and $C_{p}^{\prime}$

| Transducer | A | B | C | D | E | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exact $C_{p}(\mathrm{nF})$ | 2.86 | 2.75 | 3.21 | 2.95 | 3.32 | 3 |
| Standard $C_{p}^{\prime}(\mathrm{nF})$ | 3.3 | 3 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 3.3 |

Recalculate $Z_{t}^{\prime}$ using $C_{p}^{\prime}$ decremented by $5 \%$. Using equation (3.15) with
$Z t^{\prime}$, calculate the required inductor for all transducers. Select the closest standard value that is higher than all the calculated values. The selected inductor is $L_{f}=13 \mu \mathrm{H}$. Substitute $L_{f}=13 \mu H$ into the equation(3.15), the new $Q^{\prime}$ can be obtained. The filter capacitor $C_{f}$ is found by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{f}=\frac{1}{\omega\left(Q^{\prime} \times R_{t}^{\prime}+X_{t}^{\prime}\right)} \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is rounded to the closest standard value. The nominal value of the input impedance $Z_{i n}^{\prime}$ for the load is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{i n}^{\prime}=Z_{t}^{\prime}+j \omega L_{f}+\frac{1}{j \omega C_{f}} . \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using equation (3.38), the input impedance of the load $Z_{\text {in }}^{\prime}$ is found, as shown in Table 3.4.

Table 3.4: The nominal parameters results for six transducers

| Transducer | A | B | C | D | E | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Z_{\text {in }}^{\prime}(\Omega)$ | $18.5+\mathrm{j} 9.3$ | $21.3+\mathrm{j} 11.1$ | $20.5+\mathrm{j} 9.4$ | $20.6+\mathrm{j} 10.6$ | $22.1+\mathrm{j} 10.3$ | $20.8+\mathrm{j} 10.5$ |
| $Q^{\prime}$ | 3.7 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 3.2 |
| Exact $C_{f}(\mathrm{nF})$ | 15.31 | 21.76 | 6.29 | 8.13 | 6.2 | 12.51 |
| Nominal $C_{f}^{\prime}(\mathrm{nF})$ | 10 | 22 | 6.2 | 8.2 | 6.8 | 12 |



Figure 3.9: The relation between the operating frequency and the input impedance.

Figure 3.9 plots the nominal value of the input impedance of the load $Z_{\text {in }}^{\prime}$ variations versus the operating frequency. The x -axis is from the minimum series resonant frequency $f_{s}=998 \mathrm{kHz}$ to the maximum parallel resonant frequency $f_{p}=1114 \mathrm{kHz}$. The y -axis is the nominal impedance of the load. As the frequency increases, the input impedance of the load is still inductive. At $\mathrm{f}=1034 \mathrm{kHz}$, the load is inductive, as shown in Figure 3.9.

Since the L-C filter values are known, the nominal value of the input
impedance of the load $Z_{i n}^{\prime}$ and the magnitude impedance of $\left|Z_{i n}^{\prime}\right|$ can be found. The inductor amplitude $I_{p}$, the output power $P_{o}$, the transistor loss $P_{m}$, the inductor $\operatorname{loss} P_{L}$, and the gate loss $P_{G}$ can be calculated by $\mathrm{Eq}(3.25)$, (3.27), (3.30), and (3.31).

### 3.5.2 Predicted Performance

Using the mentioned equations and parameters from Table 3.1 and Table 3.2 , the exact values of $C_{f}$ and $C_{p}$ can be calculated for six different transducers. The calculation results are shown in Table 3.3 and Table 3.4. However, the maximum resistance for ZVS operations is the same for all transducers, and the calculated $I_{p}, P_{o}, P_{m}, P_{L}, P_{G}, P_{\text {Loss }}$ and $\eta$ are also the same. The exact calculation results for all transducers are shown in Table 3.5 and Table 3.6.

Table 3.5: Exact calculation results for all transducers

| Transducer | A | B | C | D | E | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $I_{p}(\mathrm{~A})$ | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.68 |
| $P_{o}(\mathrm{~W})$ | 6.24 | 6.24 | 6.24 | 6.24 | 6.24 | 6.24 |

Table 3.6: Exact calculation results for all transducers

| Transducer | A | B | C | D | E | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $P_{m}(\mathrm{~mW})$ | 124.9 | 124.9 | 124.9 | 124.9 | 124.9 | 124.9 |
| $P_{G}(\mathrm{~mW})$ | 72.9 | 72.9 | 72.9 | 72.9 | 72.9 | 72.9 |
| $P_{L}(\mathrm{~mW})$ | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.7 |
| $P_{\text {Loss }}(\mathrm{mW})$ | 202.4 | 202.4 | 202.4 | 202.4 | 202.4 | 202.4 |
| $\eta(\%)$ | 96.86 | 96.86 | 96.86 | 96.86 | 96.86 | 96.86 |

### 3.6 Simulation results

This section presents the example schematic and operation waveforms, simulation results and errors between simulation results and calculation results, showing how this designed amplifier works. The simulation aims to verify the amplifier analysis and the design methodology by testing how the amplifier responds to $C_{p}$ and $C_{f}$ variations. There are three sets of simulation results: the first set simulation uses the components that are identical to exact calculated values; the second set simulation employs the elements that are nominal values. The third set simulation uses $95 \%$ of nominal $C_{p}^{\prime}$ to verify that the amplifier still works if the components are at the lower limit of the tolerance.


Figure 3.10: The schematic of the Class D amplifier for transducer A.

The transient simulations run for transducer A. The schematic of a Class D amplifier is shown in Figure 3.10, and the operation waveforms are shown in Figure 3.11.


Figure 3.11: Waveforms of Vgs1,Vds1 and $i_{L}$.

Figure 3.11 plots the gate signal $V_{g s}$, the input voltage of the load $V_{i n}$, and the inductor current $i_{L}$. The waveform of $V_{i n}$ shows that the switch turns ON, not at zero voltage, and there is a switching loss. The drain capacitor is not fully discharged because the parallel capacitor is not large enough. This indicates an error between analysis and practice.

Table 3.7 shows that the amplifier performances for six transducers, including inductor currents, average output power as well as average power losses of the transistor, gate driver and inductor, the total power losses, and efficiencies. The first simulation results using components of the exact calculated values are shown below.

Table 3.7: The first set of simulation results for all transducers

| Transducer | A | B | C | D | E | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $I_{p}(\mathrm{~A})$ | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.78 |
| $P_{o}(\mathrm{~W})$ | 6 | 6.78 | 6.73 | 6.73 | 6.73 | 7.42 |
| $P_{m}(\mathrm{~mW})$ | 167.76 | 167.88 | 170.83 | 171.18 | 172.55 | 159.69 |
| $P_{G}(\mathrm{~mW})$ | 60.21 | 60.55 | 60.56 | 60.41 | 60.67 | 60.52 |
| $P_{L}(\mathrm{~mW})$ | 5 | 5.02 | 4.93 | 4.91 | 6.03 | 6.19 |
| $P_{\text {Loss }}(\mathrm{mW})$ | 232.97 | 233.45 | 238.41 | 236.5 | 239.25 | 226.4 |
| $\eta(\%)$ | 96.26 | 96.67 | 96.58 | 96.61 | 96.57 | 96.5 |

To check errors between the calculation and simulation using exact values, we can compare the output power, total power losses, and efficiency. Error calculations could be expressed as the following.

The output power error $E_{p o}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{p o}=\frac{P_{o s}-P_{o c}}{P_{o c}} \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{o s}$ is the simulation value of the output power, and $P_{o c}$ is the calculated value of the output power.

The total loss error $E_{\text {PLoss }}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{P L o s s}=\frac{P_{\text {Losss }}-P_{\text {Lossc }}}{P_{\text {Lossc }}} \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{\text {Losss }}$ is the simulation value of the total power loss, and $P_{\text {Lossc }}$ is the value of the total power loss after calculation.

The overall efficiency error $E_{\eta}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\eta}=\frac{\eta_{s}-\eta_{c}}{\eta_{c}} \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta_{s}$ is the simulation value of the overall efficiency, and $\eta_{c}$ is the computed value of the overall efficiency.

Table 3.8 shows the errors between the exact simulation and calculation. The output power differences are from $-3.85 \%$ to $18.91 \%$ for $E_{p o}$. The switching losses are assumed to be zero; however, there are switching losses in the simulation. Therefore, the power losses are different between calculation and simulation. The total power losses are from $11.86 \%$ to $18.21 \%$. Even though power consumptions are different, the efficiency errors are close. The efficiencies are from $-0.2 \%$ to $-0.62 \%$.

Table 3.8: Errors between the exact calculation and the first set simulation

| Transducer | A | B | C | D | E | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E_{p o}$ | $-3.85 \%$ | $8.65 \%$ | $7.85 \%$ | $7.85 \%$ | $7.85 \%$ | $18.91 \%$ |
| $E_{\text {PLoss }}$ | $15.1 \%$ | $15.34 \%$ | $17.79 \%$ | $16.85 \%$ | $18.21 \%$ | $11.86 \%$ |
| $E_{\eta}$ | $-0.62 \%$ | $-0.2 \%$ | $-0.29 \%$ | $-0.26 \%$ | $-0.3 \%$ | $-0.37 \%$ |

Table 3.9 shows the second set of simulation results for all transducers. The second simulation uses the nominal capacitances, which give tolerance to the load variations. The switch turns ON before $V_{i n}$ reaches $V_{D D}$, and the proposed amplifier satisfies ZVS operation so that the switching loss is zero. The simulation meets our assumptions.

Table 3.9: The second set of simulation results for all transducers

| Transducer | A | B | C | D | E | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $I_{p}(\mathrm{~A})$ | 0.85 | 0.75 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.74 | 0.78 |
| $P_{o}(\mathrm{~W})$ | 7.4 | 6.39 | 7.02 | 6.69 | 6.53 | 6.63 |
| $P_{m}(\mathrm{~mW})$ | 182.18 | 145.02 | 159.68 | 152.78 | 141.5 | 158.54 |
| $P_{G}(\mathrm{~mW})$ | 65.52 | 63.58 | 62.62 | 63.58 | 62.34 | 63.82 |
| $P_{L}(\mathrm{~mW})$ | 8.35 | 6.21 | 7.07 | 6.67 | 6.06 | 6.63 |
| $P_{\text {Loss }}(\mathrm{mW})$ | 256.05 | 214.81 | 228.67 | 223.03 | 209.9 | 228.99 |
| $\eta(\%)$ | 96.66 | 96.75 | 96.85 | 96.77 | 96.89 | 96.84 |

Table 3.10 shows the third set of simulation results. As mentioned before, the simulation uses $95 \%$ of the nominal $C_{p}^{\prime}$. The amplitudes of the inductor currents are high, so both output power and power consumptions are high. The power efficiencies among these three sets of simulations are closed to $97 \%$.

Table 3.10: The third set of simulation results for all transducers

| Transducer | A | B | C | D | E | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $I_{p}(\mathrm{~A})$ | 0.84 | 0.74 | 0.76 | 0.74 | 0.7 | 0.75 |
| $P_{o}(\mathrm{~W})$ | 7.66 | 7 | 6.95 | 6.79 | 6.44 | 6.81 |
| $P_{m}(\mathrm{~mW})$ | 170.44 | 141.54 | 145.93 | 140.49 | 131.2 | 147.14 |
| $P_{G}(\mathrm{~mW})$ | 62.06 | 62.09 | 62.16 | 61.94 | 61.75 | 61.33 |
| $P_{L}(\mathrm{~mW})$ | 7.64 | 5.66 | 6.21 | 5.45 | 5.31 | 6.07 |
| $P_{\text {Loss }}(\mathrm{mW})$ | 239.52 | 209.29 | 214.34 | 207.88 | 198.26 | 213.99 |
| $\eta(\%)$ | 96.97 | 97.1 | 97.01 | 97.03 | 97.01 | 96.95 |

In summary, this proposed amplifier has a minimum theoretical efficiency of $96.26 \%$, and it is relatively insensitive to changes in load impedance. Due to a low value of $C_{p}$, the load impedance also changes. If $C_{f}$ and $C_{p}$ have $\pm 5 \%$ tolerance, there are nine combinations of $C_{f}$ and $C_{p}$, as shown in Table 3.11. The calculation results are shown in TableA. 1 in appendix A. Table A. 1 shows the input impedance of the load $Z_{i n}$, load factor $\alpha$, maximum resistance $R_{t}^{\prime}$ for ZVS operation, and $P_{\text {Load }}$ for six transducers. Resistances are from $24 \Omega$ to $30 \Omega$, load factors are always positive, and the efficiencies are from $95.98 \%$ to $96.98 \%$.

Table 3.11: All $C_{f}$ and $C_{p}$ combinations

| Combination | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ | $(7)$ | $(8)$ | $(9)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $C_{f}$ | nom. | $+5 \%$ | $-5 \%$ | nom. | nom. | $+5 \%$ | $+5 \%$ | $-5 \%$ | $-5 \%$ |
| $C_{p}$ | nom. | nom. | nom. | $+5 \%$ | $-5 \%$ | $+5 \%$ | $-5 \%$ | $+5 \%$ | $-5 \%$ |

### 3.7 Exploration of Proposed Amplifier Limitations

The output power could be increased by raising the supply voltage. For MOSET IRF510, the maximum drain-to-source voltage $V_{D S \max }$ is 100 V , and the maximum power dissipation is approximately 50 W for all commercialindustrial applications. Given the design margin, we use 80 V of supply voltage to estimate the maximum output power. Using the $\mathrm{Eq}(3.25)$, the output power could be estimated as 42.38 W for transducer A. Simulating with the supply voltage increased to 80 V , and Figure 3.12 illustrates the schematic of this Class D amplifier. The simulation use components that are nominal values and the operation waveforms are shown in Figure 3.13. Figure 3.13 shows that the amplifier operates for the ZVS operation, and the inductor current is close to a sine wave. The parallel capacitor makes the inductor fully discharge the drain capacitor. As a result, the amplifier also works if the supply voltage is elevated.


Figure 3.12: The schematic of the Class D amplifier at $V_{D D}=80 \mathrm{~V}$


Figure 3.13: Waveforms of Class D amplifier operation at $V_{D D}=80 \mathrm{~V}$

The simulation results for all six transducers are shown in Table 3.12. When the supply voltage is 80 V , the amplifier can provide around 50 W of output power with an efficiency of $97 \%$.

Table 3.12: Simulation results at $V_{D D}=80 \mathrm{~V}$

| Transducer | A | B | C | D | E | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $I_{p}(\mathrm{~A})$ | 2.33 | 2.2 | 2.14 | 2.08 | 1.98 | 2.07 |
| $P_{o}(\mathrm{~W})$ | 52.61 | 45.53 | 50.03 | 47.66 | 46.49 | 47.29 |
| $P_{m}(\mathrm{~W})$ | 1.08 | 0.82 | 0.92 | 0.88 | 0.81 | 0.88 |
| $P_{G}(\mathrm{~mW})$ | 75.59 | 74.52 | 73.83 | 74.52 | 73.48 | 74.32 |
| $P_{L}(\mathrm{~mW})$ | 59.55 | 44.05 | 44.36 | 47.49 | 43.23 | 47.26 |
| $P_{\text {Loss }}(W)$ | 1.23 | 0.97 | 1.09 | 1.04 | 0.95 | 0.99 |
| $\eta(\%)$ | 97.72 | 97.91 | 97.87 | 97.86 | 98 | 97.95 |

Table 3.12 shows that both output power and efficiencies for the six transducers are high. When output power increases, the power dissipation also rises. If the MOSFET power dissipation is large, the dissipated power is transferred into heat that raises the junction temperature. For safety, the junction temperature $T_{J}$ should not exceed a specific maximum $T_{J m a x}$. Thus, if the temperature exceeds $T_{\text {Jmax }}$, a heat sink should be added. We can estimate the maximum power dissipation of the transistor by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\theta J A}=\frac{T_{J \max }-T_{A}}{P_{D}} \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{A}$ is the ambient temperature, and $P_{D}$ is the MOSFET power dissipation [25]. According to the MOSFET(IRF510) datasheet, the maximum junction temperature is $175^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the maximum junction-to-ambient resistance is $62^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / W$. We assume $T_{A}$ is $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for safe in this design; thus, the
maximum power dissipated without a heat sink is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{D \max }=\frac{T_{J \max }-T_{A}}{R_{J A}}=2.02 \mathrm{~W} \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

The power consumption of the transistorcan be found in Table 3.13. $P_{m}$ is the loss of two transistors, so the power consumption of each transistor is $\frac{P_{m}}{2}$. For transducer A, the power is consumed at 0.54 W , which is less than 2.02 W . Therefore, this design does not need a heat sink.

## Chapter 4

## Conclusions

### 4.1 Conclusion

This thesis presents a new design methodology of a ZVS Class D amplifier to drive ultrasound transducers. This aims to provide high output power with high efficiency. There are several advantages to this design:

1. The amplifier topology is simple, flexible, and low-cost. If this design is implemented in the lab, it requires a variable DC voltage supply, a gate driver, two NMOSs, an L-C filter, and a parallel capacitor.
2. The amplifier is tolerant within a range of loading impedance variations ( $\pm 5 \%$ ).
3. The proposed amplifier delivers up to 50 W of power to the load at $\mathrm{f}=1034 \mathrm{kHz}$ with $97 \%$ efficiency. The switching loss of this proposed amplifier is low because of the ZVS operation.

However, the amplifier has its drawbacks:

1. The amplifier requires a variable DC supply to adjust the load power.
2. The amplifier needs to be tuned for a specific frequency.

### 4.2 Future work

This design is a theoretical analysis, and it still needs to be implemented in practice. The pulse generation module should be added, and it can be achieved by PWM technology.

Experimental results and simulation results may differ. In the thesis, the design methodology eliminates the switching loss at the expense of diode losses. In the future, there should be an analysis to compare the switching losses to the diode losses. Also, the mathematical sensitivity should be analyzed, which would help to understand the critical parameters.

## Appendix A

## Calculation Results of Nine Capacitor Combinations For Amplifiers

Table A.1: Calculation results of nine capacitor combinations for amplifiers

| Transducer | Combination | $\operatorname{Zin}(\Omega)$ | $\alpha$ | $R_{t}^{\prime}(\Omega)$ | $P_{\text {Load }}(\mathrm{W})$ | $\eta(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | (1) | $18.5+\mathrm{j} 9.3$ | 0.5 | 27.8 | 7.87 | 96.39 |
|  | (2) | $18.5+\mathrm{j} 10$ | 0.54 | 27.3 | 7.62 | 96.37 |
|  | (3) | $18.5+\mathrm{j} 8.5$ | 0.46 | 28.3 | 8.15 | 96.41 |
|  | (4) | $16.3+\mathrm{j} 12.7$ | 0.78 | 24.5 | 6.97 | 95.92 |
|  | (5) | $21+\mathrm{j} 5.8$ | 0.27 | 30 | 8.07 | 96.74 |
|  | (6) | $16.3+\mathrm{j} 13.4$ | 0.83 | 24 | 6.67 | 95.89 |
|  | (7) | $21+\mathrm{j} 6.5$ | 0.31 | 29.7 | 7.92 | 96.73 |
|  | (8) | $16.3+\mathrm{j} 13.4$ | 0.83 | 24 | 6.67 | 95.89 |
|  | (9) | $21+\mathrm{j} 5$ | 0.24 | 30.3 | 8.22 | 96.76 |
| Continued on next page |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A.1: Calculation results of nine capacitor combinations for amplifiers

| Transducer | Combination | $\operatorname{Zin}(\Omega)$ | $\alpha$ | $R_{t}^{\prime}(\Omega)$ | $P_{\text {Load }}(\mathrm{W})$ | $\eta(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | (1) | $21.3+\mathrm{j} 11.1$ | 0.52 | 27.6 | 6.72 | 96.65 |
|  | (2) | $21.3+\mathrm{j} 11.4$ | 0.54 | 27.4 | 6.64 | 96.64 |
|  | (3) | $21.3+\mathrm{j} 10.7$ | 0.5 | 27.8 | 6.82 | 96.66 |
|  | (4) | $18.8+\mathrm{j} 14.8$ | 0.79 | 24.5 | 6 | 96.23 |
|  | (5) | $24.4+\mathrm{j} 7.1$ | 0.29 | 29.9 | 6.9 | 96.97 |
|  | (6) | $18.8+\mathrm{j} 15.1$ | 0.8 | 24.3 | 5.89 | 96.21 |
|  | (7) | $24.4+\mathrm{j} 7.4$ | 0.3 | 29.8 | 6.85 | 96.97 |
|  | (8) | $18.8+\mathrm{j} 14.4$ | 0.77 | 24.7 | 6.11 | 96.24 |
|  | (9) | $24.4+\mathrm{j} 6.7$ | 0.27 | 30 | 6.96 | 96.23 |
| C | (1) | $20.5+\mathrm{j} 9.4$ | 0.46 | 28.3 | 7.36 | 96.62 |
|  | (2) | $20.5+\mathrm{j} 10.6$ | 0.52 | 27.7 | 7.03 | 96.58 |
|  | (3) | $20.5+\mathrm{j} 8.1$ | 0.39 | 29 | 7.7 | 96.65 |
|  | (4) | $18.3+\mathrm{j} 11.8$ | 0.64 | 26.2 | 7.06 | 96.28 |
|  | (5) | $23.1+\mathrm{j} 6.8$ | 0.3 | 29.8 | 7.25 | 96.89 |
|  | (6) | $18.3+\mathrm{j} 12.9$ | 0.71 | 25.4 | 6.65 | 96.23 |
|  | (7) | $23.1+\mathrm{j} 8$ | 0.35 | 29.4 | 7.04 | 96.87 |
|  | (8) | $18.3+\mathrm{j} 10.4$ | 0.57 | 27 | 7.52 | 96.32 |
|  | (9) | $23.1+\mathrm{j} 5.5$ | 0.24 | 30.3 | 7.46 | 96.92 |
|  | (1) | $20.6+$ j10.6 | 0.51 | 27.7 | 7.01 | 96.60 |
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Table A.1: Calculation results of nine capacitor combinations for amplifiers

| Transducer | Combination | $\operatorname{Zin}(\Omega)$ | $\alpha$ | $R_{t}^{\prime}(\Omega)$ | $P_{\text {Load }}$ (W) | $\eta$ (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (2) | $20.6+\mathrm{j} 11.4$ | 0.55 | 27.2 | 6.76 | 96.57 |
|  | (3) | $20.6+$ j9.6 | 0.46 | 28.2 | 7.28 | 96.62 |
|  | (4) | $18.3+\mathrm{j} 13.3$ | 0.73 | 25.2 | 6.51 | 96.22 |
|  | (5) | $23.2+\mathrm{j} 7.7$ | 0.33 | 29.5 | 7.08 | 96.88 |
|  | (6) | $18.3+\mathrm{j} 14.2$ | 0.78 | 24.6 | 6.21 | 96.18 |
|  | (7) | $23.2+\mathrm{j} 8.6$ | 0.37 | 29.2 | 6.91 | 96.86 |
|  | (8) | $18.3+\mathrm{j} 12.3$ | 0.67 | 25.8 | 6.85 | 96.26 |
|  | (9) | $23.2+\mathrm{j} 6.7$ | 0.29 | 29.9 | 7.25 | 96.90 |
| E | (1) | $22.1+\mathrm{j} 10.3$ | 0.46 | 28.2 | 6.78 | 96.74 |
|  | (2) | $22.1+\mathrm{j} 11.3$ | 0.51 | 27.7 | 6.53 | 96.71 |
|  | (3) | $22.1+\mathrm{j} 9.1$ | 0.41 | 28.8 | 7.06 | 96.77 |
|  | (4) | $22.1+\mathrm{j} 10.3$ | 0.46 | 28.2 | 6.78 | 96.74 |
|  | (5) | $25+\mathrm{j} 7.7$ | 0.31 | 29.9 | 6.67 | 97 |
|  | (6) | $22.1+\mathrm{j} 11.3$ | 0.51 | 27.7 | 6.0 | 96.36 |
|  | (7) | $25+\mathrm{j} 8.7$ | 0.35 | 29.4 | 6.5 | 96.98 |
|  | (8) | $22.1+\mathrm{j} 9.1$ | 0.41 | 28.8 | 7.06 | 96.77 |
|  | (9) | $25+\mathrm{j} 6.5$ | 0.26 | 30.1 | 6.83 | 97.20 |
|  | (1) | $20.8+\mathrm{j} 10.5$ | 0.51 | 27.8 | 6.97 | 96.61 |
|  | (2) | $20.8+\mathrm{j} 11.2$ | 0.54 | 27.4 | 6.8 | 96.61 |
| Continued on next page |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A.1: Calculation results of nine capacitor combinations for amplifiers

| Transducer | Combination | $\operatorname{Zin}(\Omega)$ | $\alpha$ | $R_{t}^{\prime}(\Omega)$ | $P_{\text {Load }}(\mathrm{W})$ | $\eta(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $(3)$ | $20.8+\mathrm{j} 9.9$ | 0.47 | 28.1 | 7.15 | 96.60 |
|  | $(4)$ | $19+\mathrm{j} 13$ | 0.69 | 25.6 | 6.53 | 96.63 |
|  | $(5)$ | $23.7+\mathrm{j} 7$ | 0.29 | 29.9 | 7.08 | 96.87 |
|  | $(6)$ | $19+\mathrm{j} 13.6$ | 0.72 | 25.3 | 6.34 | 97.11 |
|  | $(7)$ | $23.7+\mathrm{j} 7.6$ | 0.32 | 29.6 | 6.98 | 96.29 |
|  | $(8)$ | $19+\mathrm{j} 12.4$ | 0.65 | 26.1 | 6.75 | 96.99 |
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